

BEST AVAILABLE COPYREMARKS

The claims have been carefully reviewed and amended to eliminate any antecedent basis problems and any inconsistencies.

Reconsideration of the rejection of claims 4 and 5 under 35 USC § 112 is respectfully requested.

Concerning the rejection of claims 1, 3, and 6 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Enge, it is noted that the Examiner has indicated that claims 2 and 7 include patentable subject matter.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 7 (and claim 6) and should therefore be patentable as amended. Reconsideration of claim 1 as amended is respectfully requested.

Claim 2 now depend on an allowable claim 1 and therefore should also be patentable.

Claims 4 and 5 have been amended to better define the arrangement according to the invention and to eliminate any inconsistencies and antecedent problems.

Claims 6 and 7 have been cancelled.

Claims 3 to 5 are directly or indirectly dependent on claim 1 and, consequently, include all the features of claim 1 so that they should be patentable together with claim 1.

Reconsideration of claims 2 to 5 is requested and allowance of claims 1 to 5 is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Klaus J. Bach
Reg. No. 26832
Cust. No.: 27956